

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></table-row>







Developments so far...



 Non-linear development of Parker's undulatory instability in a magnetic flux sheet => formation of arched flux tubes. (Fan 2001, ApJ, 546, 509)

11/2005 N

Simulations of buoyant toroidal flux tubes are able to reproduce: – Latitude range of flux emergence – Tilt angles of active regions

 Tilt angles of active regions
Asymmetry in morphology between the leading and following polarities of active regions. (Caligari et al, 1995, 1998)



MURaM code - MHD Equations Simulation of flux emergence at the Continuity equation $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0$ photosphere Momentum equation Equation of state · Essential physics for photospheric flux emergence: $\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} + \left(p + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi}\right)\mathbf{1} - \frac{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}}{4\pi}\right) = \rho \mathbf{g} + \nabla \cdot \underline{r}$ - Fully-compressible MHD in 3D - Energy exchange via radiative transfer in Local Energy equation Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE) Radiative Transfer Equation $\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \left(e + p + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi} \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \right]$ - Effects of partial ionization in Equation Of State (EOS) $\frac{1}{4\pi}\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{B} \times \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \cdot \underline{\tau}) + \nabla \cdot (K\nabla T)$ $\frac{dI_{\nu}}{ds} = -\kappa_{\nu}\rho(I_{\nu} - S_{\nu})$ - Open boundary condition(s) $\varrho(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}) + Q_{rad}$ MPS/University of Chicago Radiative MHD (MURaM) Induction equation code (Vögler et al 2005) $Q_{rad} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 4\pi \rho \int \kappa_{\nu} (J_{\nu} - S_{\nu}) d\nu$ $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}) = -\nabla \times (\eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B})$ Magnetic flux emergence in the photosphere

MURaM model of solar convection

- Size of simulation domain: 12,000 km by 1,400 km by 6,000 km • 576 by 100 by 288 gridpoints (grid-spacing 21 by 14 by 21 km)
- Optical depth unity located ~ 800 km above bottom boundary
- · 'Open' bottom boundary
- Periodic side boundaries
- Compressibility => asymmetry between upflows (broad + gentle) and downflows (narrow + strong)

Right: Volume rendering numerical model.



of temperature in the



Case study: small flux tube

At the photosphere



Observations of small-scale flux emergence



Emerged flux buffeted by surrounding granulation, subsequently transported to the intergranular lanes, timescale ~ 5 – 10 minutes.



Size of domain: 24,000 by 2,300 by 12,000 km³ Initial flux tube

- B₀ = 8500 G
- Twisted, $B_{\theta}/B_{I} = 0.5$ at r =200 km

• Specific entropy s = value at base of solar convection zone

At the photosphere







Summary

- Summary
 - For flux emergence at the photosphere, need 3D radiative MHD simulations.
 - Results highlight the importance of magnetoconvection.
 - 'Anomalous' transient dark lane coincident with an upflow is associated with emerged, cooled magnetic material.
- See also
 - Poster S6 by Lotfi Yelles Chaouche, titled "Stokes diagnostics of a simulated flux tube emergence"

Magnetic flux emergence in the photosphere